

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2291				
10/713,456	11/17/2003	Luc Orion	550-470					
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 12/19/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAM	EXAMINER					
901 NORTH C	LEBE ROAD, 11TH I	GEIB, BE	GEIB, BENJAMIN P					
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
			2181					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE				
			12/19/2008	PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/713,456	ORION ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
BENJAMIN P. GEIB	2181

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY	FILED	01	De	ecembe	er:	200	<u> 8</u> F	AILS	i T	O	PL	4CE	THIS	APPL	ICAT	ION	IN CO	ND	ITION	I FOF	RALI	LOWAN	ICE.	

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on __ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- 3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 - appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) x will be entered and an explanation of
- how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed: 5,9-11 and 13.
 - Claim(s) objected to:
 - Claim(s) rejected: 31-36.
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other: Regarding the rejection of claims 31-36, claims 31 and 35 would be rejected as anticipated by Catherwood as described in the final rejection mailed 08/01/2008. Claims 31-35 would be rejected as failing to comply with the written description requirment as described in the final action mailed 08/01/2008. Clarifying the written description rejection, claims 31-36 recite "a computer readable storage medium containing computer readable instructions that when executed are operable to control a computer including a processor There is not antecedant basis for this limitation in the specification. The terms "computer readable storage medim" and "computer readable instructions" do not appear anywhere in the specification. Therefore, the subject matter of the above-cited limitation has not been described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the art that the inventor had

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Alford W. Kindred/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2181

/Benjamin P Geib/ Examiner, Art Unit 2181

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20081217